

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/695,966 | 10/29/2003 | Michael Stanley DeCourcy | A01465 | 7997 | |
| 21898 | 7590 10/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT | | | JOHNSON, EDWARD M | | |
| | NDENCE MALL WEST | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399 | | | 1754 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/11/200 | DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/695,966 | DECOURCY ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | <u></u> | Edward M. Johnson | 1754 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe | ebruary 2004. | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | osecution as to the merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r . | | | | |
| · · | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) 🔲 . | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| _ | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicati | on No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | | | | | |
| * S | see the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment | | . 🗖 | • | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Infom | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | | | | |
| Paper | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/695,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 6, "the primary combustion zone" and "the downstream waste destruction zone" both lack antecedent basis.

Claim 5, "the product" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

Art Unit: 1754

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-2, 4-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beer US 5,617,715.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Beer '715 discloses a method for reduction of NOx emissions comprising introducing into a combustor and combusting (abstract and claim 1), wherein the combustor comprises two zones and coal waste gas is introduced at a downstream location (claim 7), and wherein hydrogen cyanide is produced and reacted (see column 4).

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Beer '715 discloses a fuel-rich and fuel-lean combustion zone wherein the ratio of fuel to flue gas is 0.1-0.5 (see column 5, lines 10-16 and claim 7).

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Beer '715 discloses combining the waste with a liquid fuel stream (see claim 1 and column 5, lines 8-9).

Regarding claim 5, Beer '715 discloses hydrogen cyanide is produced and reacted (see column 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior

Art Unit: 1754

art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cochran et al. US 6,499,412 in view of Beer '715.

Regarding claim 1, Cochran '412 discloses a method for treating waste streams comprising feeding the stream comprising NOx and CO to a thermal oxidizer or combustor and combusting at a location 18, (see column 4, lines 34-56 and Fig. 1), wherein a stream comprising nitrogen, oxygen, NOx, carbon dioxide, CO, VOCs, and water is analyzed at location 20 downstream from 18 (Fig. 1), and wherein the waste may be liquid waste from acrylonitrile, acrylic acide, or methacrylic acid production (column 1, lines 15-35).

Cochran fails to disclose injecting at a downstream location.

Beer discloses injecting at a downstream location (claim 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the downstream injection of Beer in the thermal oxidation waste stream treatment method of Cochran because Beer discloses such injection in a process for treatment of reduction of NOx

Art Unit: 1754

emissions comprising introducing into a combustor and combusting (abstract and claim 1) to mix with hydrocarbon fragments of fuel, which would motivate the ordinarily skilled artisan to perform such injection so as to achieve such mixing, as disclosed.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Beer '715 discloses a fuel-rich and fuel-lean combustion zone wherein the ratio of fuel to flue gas is 0.1-0.5 (see column 5, lines 10-16 and claim 7).

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Cochran '412 discloses the waste may be liquid waste from acrylonitrile, acrylic acide, or methacrylic acid production (column 1, lines 15-35).

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Beer '715 discloses combining the waste with a liquid fuel stream (see claim 1 and column 5, lines 8-9).

Regarding claim 5, Beer '715 discloses hydrogen cyanide is produced and reacted (see column 4).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Manganaro et al. US 6,444,130 discloses a method for treating waste streams comprising waste slurries, by thermal oxidation (see abstract and description); Holst et al. US 5,650,128 discloses a method

Art Unit: 1754

for destruction of waste gas comprising combustion and noncatalytic destruction (see abstract and column 3).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199

Art Unit: 1754

(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754 Page 7

EMJ